KRAMER, JENSEN & BAGBY LLC CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Kramer, Jensen & Bagby, LLC | 7430 E. Caley Ave. Suite 300E, Centennial, CO 80111 T 303-741-2253 | F 855-330-4215 | aaronb@kramerjensen.com | kramerjensen.com

Newsletter

February 2025

Supreme Court Rules on Nationwide Injunction on BOI Reporting

On Thursday January 23, 2025, the Supreme Court issued a stay on a nationwide injunction that had previously halted the enforcement of beneficial ownership information (BOI) reporting requirements for businesses.

The BOI requirements are part of the Corporate Transparency Act (CTA), P.L. 116-283. FinCEN has estimated that 32 million small businesses would be required to file BOI reports.

On Friday morning, January 24,2025 FinCEN provided the following update: "On January 23, 2025, the Supreme Court granted the government's motion to stay a nationwide injunction issued by a federal judge in Texas (Texas Top Cop Shop, Inc. v. McHenry—formerly, Texas Top Cop Shop v. Garland). As a separate nationwide order issued by a different federal judge in Texas (Smith v. U.S. Department of the Treasury) remains in place, reporting companies are not currently required to file beneficial ownership information with FinCEN despite the Supreme Court's action in Texas Top Cop Shop. Reporting companies are also not liable if they fail to file this information while the Smith order remains in force. However, reporting companies may continue to voluntarily submit beneficial ownership information reports."

Unlocking Wealth: How Exchanges Can Transform Your Real Estate Investments

Article Highlights:

- The Basics of Section 1031 Exchanges
- Key Requirements
- Limitations Imposed by the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act
- State Considerations
- The Role of a Qualified Intermediary
- Types of 1031 Exchanges
- Boot in 1031 Exchanges
- Time Limits and Identification Rules
- When 1031 Exchanges Are Appropriate
- When 1031 Exchanges Are Not Appropriate

Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code, commonly known as a 1031 exchange or likekind exchange, is a powerful tax-deferral strategy used by real estate investors. This provision allows investors to defer capital gains taxes on the sale of a property by reinvesting the proceeds into a similar property. However, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA) of 2017 introduced significant limitations to this strategy. In this blog, we will explore the intricacies of 1031 exchanges, the impact of TCJA, state considerations, and the mechanics of different types of exchanges. **The Basics of Section 1031 Exchanges** - A 1031 exchange allows a taxpayer to defer paying capital gains taxes on an investment property when it is sold, if another similar property is purchased with the profit gained by the sale. This deferral can be a significant financial advantage, allowing investors to leverage their equity into larger or more profitable properties without the immediate tax burden. While sometimes referred to as a "tax-free exchange," this is an erroneous term since the seller's capital gain isn't forgiven, but merely postponed until the property acquired in the exchange is sold in other than another exchange arrangement.

Key Requirements:

- <u>Like-Kind Property</u>: The properties exchanged must be of like-kind, meaning they are of the same nature or character, even if they differ in grade or quality. For real estate, this is broadly interpreted, allowing exchanges between different types of real estate properties. For example, a residential rental can be exchanged for an apartment house, a commercial building or vacant land.
- <u>Investment or Business Use</u>: Both the relinquished property and the replacement property must be held for investment or productive use in a trade or business.
- <u>Timing</u>: The replacement property must be identified within 45 days of the sale of the relinquished property, and the exchange must be completed within 180 days.

Limitations Imposed by the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act - The TCJA, enacted in December 2017, brought significant changes to 1031 exchanges. Prior to the TCJA, 1031 exchanges could be used for a variety of property types, including personal property like machinery, equipment, and even intangible assets. However, the TCJA limited 1031 exchanges strictly to real property. Unlike most of the TCJA provisions that expire after 2025 (unless reinstated by Congress), the changes to Sec 1031 exchanges are permanent. Here are a couple of key changes:

- <u>Real Property Only</u>: As of January 1, 2018, 1031 exchanges are limited to real property. This means that exchanges involving personal property, such as vehicles or equipment, no longer qualify for tax deferral under Section 1031.
- <u>Domestic Limitation</u>: The TCJA also clarified that exchanges must involve properties within the United States. Properties exchanged between domestic and foreign locations do not qualify as like-kind.

State Considerations - While the TCJA applies federally, some states may not conform to these changes. This means that in certain states, taxpayers might still be able to defer taxes on exchanges involving personal property. Another complication may occur when the replacement property is in a different state than the relinquished property. It's crucial for investors to consult with a tax professional familiar with state-specific tax laws to understand how these rules apply in their jurisdiction.

The Role of a Qualified Intermediary - A qualified intermediary (QI), also known as an accommodator, is a crucial component of nearly all 1031 exchanges. The QI facilitates the exchange by holding the proceeds from the sale of the relinquished property and using them to purchase the replacement property. This ensures that the selling taxpayer does not have actual or constructive receipt of the funds, which would disqualify the transaction from 1031 treatment. A QI is required in all delayed exchanges to ensure compliance with IRS regulations. The QI must be an independent third party and cannot be the taxpayer or a related party.

Types of 1031 Exchanges

<u>Delayed Exchanges</u> – While it is possible to have an exchange that's simultaneous, i.e., where the properties are exchanged in the same escrow, this type of transaction is very rare. Instead, the most common type of 1031 exchange is the delayed exchange. In this scenario, the taxpayer sells the relinquished property and identifies the replacement property in no more than 45 days and acquires the replacement property within 180 days. The use of a QI is mandatory to hold the proceeds during the interim period.

• <u>Reverse Exchanges</u> - In a reverse exchange, the replacement property is acquired before the relinquished property is sold. This type of exchange is more complex and requires the QI to hold title to the replacement property until the relinquished property is sold. Reverse exchanges are beneficial in competitive markets where securing the replacement property is a priority.

Boot in 1031 Exchanges - "Boot" refers to any non-like-kind property received in an exchange, such as cash or other non-qualifying property. Receiving boot can trigger a taxable event, as it represents a gain that cannot be deferred. To avoid boot, the value of the replacement property should be equal to or greater than the relinquished property's value, and all proceeds must be reinvested.

Time Limits and Identification Rules - The IRS imposes strict time limits on 1031 exchanges to ensure compliance:

- <u>45-Day Identification Period</u>: The taxpayer must identify potential replacement properties within 45 days of selling the relinquished property. The identification must be in writing and submitted to the QI. It is possible to exchange into multiple properties (no more than three or any number of replacement properties, as long as the total fair market value (FMV) of all of the replacement properties isn't more than 200% of the total FMV of all properties given up). In this case all of the replacement properties must be identified in the 45-day identification period.
- <u>180-Day Exchange Period</u>: The entire exchange must be completed within 180 days from the sale of the relinquished property. This includes closing on the replacement property.

When 1031 Exchanges Are Appropriate - 1031 exchanges are most beneficial for investors looking to:

- <u>Upgrade or Diversify</u>: Investors can leverage their equity to acquire larger or more diverse properties without immediate tax consequences.
- <u>Consolidate or Relocate</u>: Investors can consolidate multiple properties into one or relocate their investments to different geographic areas.
- Estate Planning: By deferring taxes, investors can potentially pass on a larger estate to heirs, who may benefit from a step-up in basis.

When 1031 Exchanges Are Not Appropriate - While 1031 exchanges offer significant benefits, they may not be suitable in all situations:

- <u>Need for Liquidity</u>: If an investor requires cash from the sale for other purposes, a 1031 exchange may not be feasible.
- <u>Market Conditions</u>: In a declining market, holding onto a property might be more advantageous than exchanging it.
- Loss: When a sale results in a loss.
- Complexity and Costs: The process can be complex and may involve significant fees for QIs and legal services.

Section 1031 exchanges remain a valuable tool for real estate investors, despite the limitations imposed by the TCJA. By understanding the rules and working with experienced professionals, investors can effectively use 1031 exchanges to defer taxes and strategically grow their portfolios. However, it's essential to consider individual circumstances and market conditions to determine if a 1031 exchange is the right strategy.

Due to the complexities of Section 1031 exchanges, and if you are considering an exchange, it is recommended you contact this office for assistance.

Federal EV Tax Credits: Should They Stay or Go?

The debate over federal tax credits for electric vehicles (EVs) is as electrified as the cars themselves. These credits, designed to incentivize the adoption of EVs, have sparked contrasting opinions about their effectiveness, fairness, and future. Advocates argue they are essential for achieving sustainability goals, while critics question their cost and equitable impact.

The Biden administration was a vocal proponent of federal tax credits for electric vehicles, positioning them as a cornerstone of the government's strategy to combat climate change and transition to cleaner energy. President Biden's Inflation Reduction Act expanded the program, increasing eligibility criteria to include more EV models like the Tesla Model Y, Chevrolet Bolt EV, and Ford F-150 Lightning. The administration also tied some tax credit benefits to stricter domestic manufacturing requirements, aiming to boost U.S. production of EV batteries and reduce reliance on foreign supply chains.

In contrast, the Trump campaign has signaled skepticism about the program, echoing previous criticism of federal subsidies for EVs. Trump has argued that the government shouldn't pick winners and losers in the market and that tax credits unfairly burden taxpayers who don't benefit directly. However, the new administration has not yet outlined a detailed alternative strategy for addressing rising emissions from transportation, which remains a significant contributor to climate change.

These differing stances highlight the political and economic complexities surrounding EV tax credits. For consumers considering popular models like the Rivian R1T, Hyundai Ioniq 5, or Lucid Air, the future of these incentives could play a significant role in determining affordability and adoption rates in the coming years.

Arguments for Keeping EV Tax Credits

1. Accelerating EV Adoption

Supporters have pushed the fact that federal tax credits are a powerful tool for driving EV adoption. By reducing the upfront cost of these vehicles, credits make EVs accessible to a broader demographic. According to the <u>Alliance for Automotive Innovation</u>, federal credits played a significant role in increasing EV sales by 40% in 2023 compared to the previous year.

"Federal incentives are crucial for leveling the playing field until the market achieves cost parity between EVs and traditional combustion vehicles," said John Bozzella, CEO of the Alliance.

2. Meeting Climate Goals

EVs produce significantly fewer greenhouse gas emissions over their lifetimes compared to gas-powered cars. By supporting EV adoption, tax credits align with national and international climate commitments. According to the **Environmental Defense Fund**, transportation is the largest source of greenhouse gas emissions in the U.S., making the shift to EVs critical.

3. Boosting Domestic Manufacturing

Tax credits incentivize automakers to ramp up EV production domestically, creating jobs in manufacturing and related industries. The Inflation Reduction Act tied tax credits to domestic battery sourcing, further encouraging investments in U.S.-based production.

As <u>Senator Debbie Stabenow</u> has previously stated, such policies "ensure that America not China—will lead the way in the clean energy revolution."

Arguments Against EV Tax Credits

1. Benefits the Wealthy Disproportionately

Critics argue that EV tax credits primarily benefit higher-income households that can already afford expensive electric cars. The *Los Angeles Times* editorial board notes that even with tax credits, many EVs remain out of reach for middle- and lower-income families.

According to a recent <u>MarketWatch</u> article, "Higher-income households, particularly those earning at least \$200,000, are the primary buyers, reflecting EVs' higher costs, which remain out of reach for average Americans."

2. Limited Impact on Emissions

Some analysts argue that the credits don't significantly reduce emissions. They cite studies indicating that the majority of EV adopters live in regions where the electricity grid relies heavily on fossil fuels, offsetting the environmental benefits.

A study by the <u>Manhattan Institute</u> suggests that the environmental benefits of electric vehicles can be offset by the emissions from electricity production, stating, "The reduction will have no measurable impact on world climate."

3. Cost to Taxpayers

The cost of the EV tax credit program has raised eyebrows, even on Capitol Hill. The <u>Congressional Budget Office</u> estimates that maintaining these credits could cost billions annually. Critics argue that these funds could be redirected to more effective climate initiatives, such as expanding renewable energy infrastructure. For example, increasing investments in solar and wind energy projects could help decarbonize the electricity grid more rapidly.

According to a 2023 report by the International Renewable Energy Agency, every dollar spent on renewable energy infrastructure yields an estimated \$3 to \$8 in economic returns while significantly reducing carbon emissions.

Final Thoughts: The Road Ahead

Both sides acknowledge that reforms could address some of the program's shortcomings. For instance, adjusting eligibility criteria to better target middle- and low-income households or tying the credits more closely to emissions reductions could enhance their impact.

Daniel Sperling, a transportation expert at UC Davis, <u>has written about</u> the importance of electric vehicle tax credits in promoting sustainable transportation. In a 2023 white paper coauthored with Aditya Ramji and Lewis Fulton, he discusses the potential of feebate systems —where fees on less efficient vehicles fund rebates for zero-emission vehicles—as a revenue-neutral approach to incentivize EV adoption. This suggests that altering existing programs, rather than eliminating them, could make them more effective.

As tax season approaches and EV adoption grows, the future of federal tax credits remains uncertain. Balancing environmental goals, economic equity, and fiscal responsibility is no small task. For now, the EV tax credit debate is a stark reminder of the broader challenges of crafting effective policies in the transition to a sustainable future.

Thank you for selecting our firm for your tax and accounting needs. We appreciate the confidence you have shown in us, and we remain ready to assist you at any time.

Aaron Bagby Kramer, Jensen & Bagby, LLC

The contents of this newsletter are intended to convey general information only and not to provide accounting or tax advice or opinions. The content should not be construed as, and should not be relied upon for, accounting or tax advice in any particular circumstance or fact situation. We recommend you contact us to discuss the application to any specific situation.

VISIT OUR WEBSITE



Kramer, Jensen & Bagby, LLC aaronb@kramerjensen.com

Share This Email as Webpage



Kramer, Jensen & Bagby, LLC 7430 E CALEY AVE STE 300E | CENTENNIAL, CO 80111 US

www.kramerjensen.com

Unsubscribe | Update Profile | Constant Contact Data Notice

