Kramer, Jensen & Bagby LLC
Certified Public Accountants

Kramer, Jensen & Bagby, LLC | 7430 E. Caley Ave. Suite 300E, Centennial, CO 80111
T 303-741-2253 | F 855-330-4215 | aaronb@kramerjensen.com | **kramerjensen.com**

Newsletter April 2025

Navigating the Complexities of Estimated Tax Payments to Avoid Underpayment Penalties

Article Highlights:

- The Intricacies of Estimated Safe Harbors
- Understanding Underestimated Penalties
- Estimated Safe Harbors
- Ratable Payments Requirement
- Uneven Quarters and Computing Penalties
- Workarounds: Increasing Withholding and Retirement Plan Distributions

Tax planning is a crucial aspect of financial management, yet it often remains underutilized by many taxpayers. One area that frequently causes confusion and potential financial strain is the management of estimated tax payments and the associated penalties for underpayment. Understanding the intricacies of estimated safe harbors, the requirement for payments to be made ratably, and the strategies to mitigate penalties can significantly impact a taxpayer's financial health. This article delves into these topics, offering insights into how taxpayers can navigate these challenges effectively.

Understanding Underestimated Penalties - Underpayment penalties can catch taxpayers off guard, especially when they fail to meet the required estimated tax payments. The IRS imposes these penalties to encourage timely tax payments throughout the year, rather than a lump sum at the end. The penalty is essentially an interest charge on the amount of tax that should have been paid during the year but wasn't. This penalty can be substantial, especially for those with fluctuating incomes or those who experience a significant increase in income without adjusting their estimated payments accordingly.

Estimated Payment Safe Harbors - To avoid underpayment penalties, taxpayers can rely on safe harbor rules. These rules provide a guideline for the minimum amount that must be paid to avoid penalties. Generally, taxpayers can avoid penalties if their total tax payments equal or exceed:

- 90% of the current year's tax liability or
- 100% of the prior year's tax liability.

However, for high-income taxpayers with an adjusted gross income (AGI) over $150,000, the safe harbor threshold increases to 110% of the prior year's tax liability.

Ratable Payments Requirement - One critical aspect of estimated tax payments is the requirement for these payments to be made ratably throughout the year. This means that taxpayers should aim to make equal payments each quarter to avoid penalties. However, income is not always received evenly throughout the year, which can complicate this requirement. For instance, if a taxpayer receives a significant portion of their income in the later part of the year, they may find themselves underpaid for earlier quarters, leading to penalties.

Uneven Quarters and Computing Penalties - The challenge of uneven income can be addressed by understanding how penalties are computed. The IRS calculates penalties on a quarterly basis, meaning that underpayments in one quarter cannot be offset by overpayments in a later quarter. This can be particularly problematic for those with seasonal or sporadic income. To mitigate this, taxpayers can use IRS Form 2210, which allows them to annualize their income and potentially reduce or eliminate penalties by showing that their income was not received evenly throughout the year.

Workarounds: Increasing Withholding and Retirement Plan Distributions:

- *Increase Withholding* - One effective workaround for managing underpayment penalties is to increase income tax withholding for the balance of the year. Unlike estimated payments, withholding is considered paid equally throughout the year, regardless of when it is actually withheld. This means that increasing withholding later in the year can help cover any shortfalls from earlier quarters. The source of the withholding tax need not match the source of the income. For example, a taxpayer who sold a piece of land for a large capital gain could increase their wage withholding to cover the extra tax.

- *Retirement Plan Distribution* - Another strategy involves taking a substantial distribution from a retirement plan, which is subject to a 20% withholding. The taxpayer can then roll the distribution back into the plan within 60 days, using other funds to make up the withholding. This approach can be beneficial, but it requires careful planning to ensure compliance with the one rollover per 12-month period rule.

  Taxpayers who must take a required minimum distribution (RMD) from their IRA or other retirement plan, generally those age 73 and older, and who haven't made sufficient estimated tax payments during the year to cover their tax, should consider having tax withheld from their RMD. Some financial institutions limit the withholding amount to a fixed percentage of the distribution, while others are more flexible in the withholding rate. Using this method to make up for underestimated payments could make the difference in owing a penalty or not.

- Annualized Exception - For taxpayers with uneven income, the annualized exception using IRS Form 2210 can be a valuable tool. This form allows taxpayers to calculate their required estimated payments based on the actual income received during each quarter, rather than assuming equal income throughout the year. By doing so, taxpayers can potentially reduce or eliminate underpayment penalties by demonstrating that their income was not received evenly.

Managing estimated tax payments and avoiding underpayment penalties requires careful planning and a thorough understanding of IRS rules and regulations. By leveraging safe harbor provisions, understanding the requirement for ratable payments, and utilizing strategies such as increased withholding and retirement plan distributions, taxpayers can effectively navigate these challenges.

If you are expecting your pre-payments of tax to be substantially underpaid and wish to develop a strategy to avoid or mitigate underpayment penalties, please contact this office. But if you wait too late in the year, it might not provide enough time before the end of the year to make any effective changes.

FinCEN Rule Ends BOI Reporting for Domestic Companies

Article Highlights:

- What This Means for Domestic Companies
- The Continued Responsibility for Foreign Companies
- Understanding the Purpose Behind BOI Reporting
- A Balance Between Transparency and Burden
- Looking Ahead

Business owners across the United States can breathe a sigh of relief with the latest announcement from the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) about BOI reporting. On March 21, 2025, FinCEN revealed an **interim final rule** that lifts the burden of Beneficial Ownership Information (BOI) reporting requirements on domestic companies. This move, aimed at relieving compliance pressures, marks a favorable turning point for domestic businesses previously saddled with onerous reporting duties.

What This Means for Domestic Companies - Thanks to FinCEN's decision, domestic companies, which were previously required to comply with the Corporate Transparency Act's

BOI reporting rules, will no longer need to file initial or updated BOI reports. This exemption reflects an understanding of the operational and financial strain such obligations can place on small and large enterprises alike. Instead of focusing resources on fulfilling these requirements, businesses can redirect their efforts towards growth and innovation.

The relief granted to domestic enterprises is part of an ongoing effort by regulators to alleviate unnecessary bureaucratic burdens, ensuring that businesses can operate without undue hindrance. This was emphasized by the exemption issued under specific sections of the United States Code (31 U.S.C. 5318(a)(7) and 31 U.S.C. 5336(a)(11)(B)(xxiv)), which underscores the government's resolve to support domestic commerce.

The Continued Responsibility for Foreign Companies - While domestic companies received a reprieve, foreign entities were not afforded the same leniency. Foreign companies registered to conduct business in the United States must continue adhering to the established BOI reporting framework. This requirement ensures that foreign businesses maintain transparency and financial integrity when operating across U.S. borders.

The ongoing application of these rules to foreign companies is crucial for safeguarding the U.S. financial infrastructure from exploitation by illicit actors. Given the global nature of financial crime, particularly regarding money laundering and evasion tactics leveraged through shell companies, these measures play a key role in national security efforts.

However, the interim final rule does exempt (1) foreign reporting companies from having to report the BOI of any U.S. persons who are beneficial owners of the foreign reporting company and (2) U.S. persons from having to provide such information to any foreign reporting company for which they are a beneficial owner.

Understanding the Purpose Behind BOI Reporting - But what exactly is BOI reporting, and why is it considered significant? At its core, BOI reporting mandates that certain entities disclose information about the individuals who own, control, or benefit from the entity. This framework is designed to deter illicit activities, including terrorism financing, money laundering, and tax fraud.

The collection and transparency of beneficial ownership information allow regulatory authorities to trace and sanction companies involved in malfeasance, protecting not only national integrity but also the global financial system.

A Balance Between Transparency and Burden - FinCEN's current approach strikes a delicate balance between necessary oversight and unnecessary obstruction. The aim is not only to diminish the paperwork burden on legitimate businesses but also to enhance the efficacy of regulations targeting potential threats.

While domestic enterprises enjoy newfound relief, they do so under a system that ensures sound regulatory oversight remains in place where needed. Foreign entities, meanwhile, are tasked with demonstrating transparency to ensure their operations align with U.S. laws and financial security standards.

Looking Ahead - This interim ruling by FinCEN will be reviewed further within the year, with consultations from stakeholders and the public helping inform the final regulatory outcome. Despite the current exemptions, diligent monitoring of both domestic and foreign operations will continue under the revised regulatory structures announced.

For U.S. business owners, this revised reporting rule is a moment to celebrate a more flexible operating landscape. As stakeholders look forward to the finalization of these rules, they can embrace this opportunity to leverage resources on business growth without the looming burden of complex compliance duties.

Overall, the FinCEN announcement offers a pragmatic approach that maintains the delicate balance between compliance enforcement and operational freedom.

Strategies for Maximizing Your Roth IRA Contributions: A Comprehensive Guide

Article Highlights:

- Pros and Cons of Roth Accounts vs. Traditional IRA Accounts
- Funding Roth Accounts
- Converting Traditional IRA Funds to Roth Funds
- Mega Conversions
- Converting Sec 529 Funds to Roth Accounts
- Converting a Coverdell ESA to a Sec 529 Plan

When planning for retirement, one of the most critical decisions involves choosing the right type of retirement account. Among the various options available, Roth accounts and traditional IRA accounts stand out as popular choices. Each has its unique advantages and disadvantages, and understanding these can help you make an informed decision that aligns with your financial goals.

PROS AND CONS OF ROTH ACCOUNTS VS. TRADITIONAL IRA ACCOUNTS

- *Roth Accounts*:
  - **Pros:**

    Tax-Free Distributions: The most significant advantage of Roth accounts is that qualified distributions are tax-free. This means that once you reach retirement age, you can withdraw your funds without worrying about taxes, which can be a substantial benefit if you expect to be in a higher tax bracket in retirement.

    No Required Minimum Distributions (RMDs): Unlike traditional accounts, Roth IRAs do not require you to take minimum distributions at a certain age, allowing your investments to grow tax-free for a longer period.

    Estate Planning Benefits: Roth accounts can be passed on to heirs tax-free, providing a tax-efficient way to transfer wealth.
  - **Cons:**

    No Upfront Tax Deduction: Contributions to Roth accounts are made with after-tax dollars, meaning you do not receive a tax deduction in the year you make the contribution.

    Income Limits: There are income limits for contributing to Roth IRAs, which can restrict high earners from contributing directly.
- *Traditional IRA Accounts*:
  - **Pros:**

    Upfront Tax Deduction: Contributions to traditional IRA accounts are tax-deductible, reducing your taxable income in the year you make the contribution. This can be beneficial if you are currently in a high tax bracket. However, if you are also covered by a retirement plan at work, and depending on your total income, some or all of the contribution to the IRA account may not be deductible.

    No Income Limits for Contributions: Unlike Roth IRAs, traditional IRAs do not have income limits for contributions, making them accessible to all earners. But as noted in the prior paragraph, in some cases the contribution may not be tax deductible but can still be made.
  - **Cons:**

    Taxable Distributions: Withdrawals from traditional IRA accounts are taxed as ordinary income, which can be a disadvantage if you expect to be in a higher tax bracket in retirement.

Required Minimum Distributions (RMDs): Traditional IRA accounts require you to start taking distributions once you reach age 73, which can limit the tax-deferred growth potential of your investments.

FUNDING ROTH ACCOUNTS - Roth accounts can be funded through various means, including IRAs, 401(k)s, and other retirement plan allocations.

- *Roth IRAs*: Individuals can contribute up to $7,000 annually ($8,000 if age 50 or older) as of 2025. Contributions are made with after-tax dollars, and the earnings grow tax-free.

- *Roth 401(k)s*: Many employers offer Roth 401(k) options, allowing employees to contribute after-tax dollars. The contribution limits are higher than those for Roth IRAs, with a maximum of $23,500 annually ($31,000 if age 50 or older, except $34,750 if age 60 to 63) as of 2025.

- *Tax-Sheltered Annuities (403(b))*: Like Roth 401(k)s, some 403(b) plans offer Roth options, allowing employees of public schools and certain tax-exempt organizations to contribute after-tax dollars.

- *Other Retirement Plan Allocations*: Some employers offer Roth options in other retirement plans, such as SIMPLE IRAs and SEP IRAs, though these are less common.

- *Mandatory Roth Allocations for Higher Income Taxpayers*: For higher-income taxpayers, certain retirement plans may require mandatory Roth allocations for age 50 and over catch-up contributions. This means any catch-up contributions to the retirement plan must be allocated to a Roth account, ensuring that the funds grow tax-free and are distributed tax-free in retirement.

CONVERTING TRADITIONAL IRA Funds TO ROTH FUNDS - Converting traditional IRA funds to Roth funds can be a strategic move, especially if you anticipate being in a higher tax bracket in retirement. This process, known as a Roth conversion, involves paying taxes on the converted amount in the year of conversion. However, once converted, the funds grow tax-free and can be withdrawn tax-free in retirement.

EMPLOYER-SPONSORED RETIREMENT PLAN CONVERSIONS - Often referred to as a "mega backdoor Roth conversion," involves converting funds from an employer-sponsored retirement plan, such as a 401(k), to a Roth IRA. This strategy is particularly useful for individuals who want to contribute more to a Roth IRA than the standard contribution limits allow. Here's how it generally works:

- *After-Tax Contributions*: The individual makes after-tax contributions to their employer-sponsored retirement plan. Not all plans allow this, so it's important to check the specific provisions of the plan.

- *In-Service Distributions*: The plan must allow in-service distributions of these after-tax contributions. This means the individual can withdraw these contributions while still employed.

- *Pro-Rata Rule*: When converting these funds to a Roth IRA, the IRS's pro-rata rule applies. This rule requires that any distribution from the plan includes a proportionate share of both after-tax contributions and any earnings on those contributions. The earnings portion is taxable when converted to a Roth IRA.

- *Tax-Free Conversion*: The after-tax contributions themselves can be converted to a Roth IRA tax-free, but any pre-tax earnings on those contributions will be subject to taxes upon conversion.

- *Annual Strategy*: This process can be repeated annually, allowing individuals to maximize their Roth IRA contributions beyond the usual limits.

The mega backdoor Roth conversion can be complex and is subject to specific IRS rules and plan provisions, so it's often advisable to consult with this office when considering this strategy.

CONVERTING SEC 529 FUNDS TO ROTH ACCOUNTS - Recent legislative changes have introduced the possibility of converting a Sec 529 plan (also referred to as a qualified tuition program or a college savings plan) to a Roth account under certain conditions. This can be advantageous for individuals who have excess funds in a 529 plan and want to repurpose them for retirement savings. The following are the conditions to making the conversion:

- The 529 plan must have been open for at least 15 years.
- Contributions made within the last five years are not eligible for conversion.
- The conversion is subject to annual Roth IRA contribution limits.
- Only a maximum of $35,000 over the course of the plan beneficiary’s lifetime can be rolled from any 529 account in their name to their Roth IRA.

CONVERTING UNUSED COVERDELL ESA FUNDS TO A SEC 529 PLAN – A contribution to a 529 plan is a qualified education expense of a Coverdell Education Saving Arrangement (ESA) if the contribution is on behalf of the designated beneficiary of the Coverdell ESA. In the case of a change in beneficiary, this is a qualified expense only if the new beneficiary is a family member of that designated beneficiary. In addition, Coverdell ESAs must be distributed no later than beneficiaries 30th birthday unless the beneficiary is a special needs student. If the remaining are distributed to the beneficiary the account earnings will be taxable. However, the taxability of the earnings and the 6% excess contributions penalty can be avoided by rolling the Coverdell ESA into a Sec 529 plan.

Then the funds could be rolled into a Roth IRA subject to the limitations described for Sec 529 to Roth rollover previously discussed.

CONCLUSION - Roth retirement plans offer a unique set of benefits and considerations that can significantly impact your retirement strategy. By understanding the pros and cons of Roth versus traditional accounts, exploring various funding options, and considering strategic conversions, you can make informed decisions that align with your long-term financial goals. Whether you are planning for retirement or looking to optimize your current savings, Roth accounts provide a flexible and tax-efficient way to secure your financial future.

Please contact this office with questions or for an appointment to work out a plan to maximize Roth contributions and minimize taxes on conversions.

Thank you for selecting our firm for your tax and accounting needs. We appreciate the confidence you have shown in us, and we remain ready to assist you at any time.

Aaron Bagby
Kramer, Jensen & Bagby, LLC

VISIT OUR WEBSITE

SPREAD THE WORD
SHARE THIS EMAIL

Kramer, Jensen & Bagby, LLC
aaronb@kramerjensen.com

Share This Email as Webpage

KEEP UP ON THE LATEST
SIGN UP FOR OUR EMAILS

Kramer, Jensen & Bagby, LLC
7430 E CALEY AVE STE 300E | CENTENNIAL, CO 80111 US
www.kramerjensen.com